

RE: Modelers meeting Duriancik, Lisa - Beltsville, MD to: Richard Batiuk "Carpenter. Glenn - Beltsville, MD", Kelly Shenk, "McAfee, Robert -

07/06/2010 10:12 AM

Rich: Thanks. I think this will work better as well. I hope that the discussion in the meeting on our CEAP watersheds synthesis lessons learned can include not only monitoring in small watersheds to document conservation effects or effectiveness but also lessons learned about land treatment, adoption and maintenance of practices, etc. You may find that you and Kelly or others would want to participate in that discussion as well. The team that is working on this is from various places around the country (not local), so while they can come in for this meeting, we'll have to plan ahead because of travel. I'll get back to you and Kelly with some possible weeks they are available and we can go from there.

I also hope that you and Roberta and I can get together for a short meeting some day to talk about the CEAP Roadmap and input you might have had for that.

Thanks for your interest, Lisa

----Original Message----

From: Batiuk.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Batiuk.Richard@epamail.cpa.gov]

Sent: Saturday, July 03, 2010 8:09 PM

To: Duriancik, Lisa - Beltsville, MD Cc: Carpenter, Glenn - Beltsville, MD; Shenk.Kelly@epamail.epa.gov; McAfee,

Robert - Annapolis, MD

Subject: RE: Modelers meeting

Lisa-

Thanks for sharing some of your ideas and questions for how we proceed forward. As Kelly indicated in her email response, I have asked her to work closely with you, Roberta and Glenn on drafting up the agenda for our upcoming meeting. I am still committed to actively participate and ensuring the right folks from our teams are also involved in the July meeting and beyond.

In terms of the recommended follow-up actions related to monitoring, following our July meeting, I will ask Peter Tango, the Chesapeake Bay Program Watershed Monitoring Coordinator and the leader of our Monitoring Team in Annapolis, to follow up with you directly to get in contact with counterparts within CEAP. I think a separate meeting would be more productive then attempting to pull in our respective monitoring colleagues to our July 27th meeting

Thanks, Rich

Richard Batiuk Associate Director for Science U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Chesapeake Bay Program Office 410 Severn Avenue, Suite 109 Annapolis, Maryland 21403 410-267-5731 1-800-968-7229 Ext 731 443-223-7823 Cell Phone 410-267-5777 FAX

batiuk.richard@epa.gov www.chesapeakebay.net www.epa.gov/chesapeake

"Duriancik, Lisa - Beltsville, MD" <Lisa.Duriancik@wdc.usda.gov>

07/01/2010 05:00 PM "Carpenter, Glenn - Beltsville, MD"

<Glenn.Carpenter@wdc.usda.gov>,
"Lund, Daryl - Beltsville, MD"

<Daryl.Lund@wdc.usda.gov>,
"Norfleet, Lee"

<Inorfleet@brc.tamus.edu>,
"McAfee, Robert - Annapolis, MD"

<Robert.McAfee@md.usda.gov>

Kelly Shenk/CBP/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Batiuk/CBP/USEPA/US@EPA Subject

RE: Modelers meeting

Glenn and Rich: My apologies for not responding to Rich's email yet either. I was on travel when it came in (actually at our PA CEAP watershed site in the Bay watershed) and then just didn't get back to it. I'll send you some more input on the agenda tomorrow, but some initial input is that I definitely want to follow up on Rich's bullet on the CEAP Roadmap. However, after talking about this with Roberta Parry, I think that Rich and I would need Roberta in on that discussion and she will not be at our end of July meeting. I suggest that Rich and Roberta and I (and whoever else is interested, probably not Rich's whole team or ours) set up a separate meeting to discuss this particular item. We can pull some of those from the discussion on modeling on the 27th, but there will likely be others that we can explore separately.

* Work to get Chesapeake Bay Program partnership's collaborative efforts build into NRCS's CEAP "Roadmap" plan.

On the item below, this another discussion I will have to facilitate. We can either set up a separate meeting for Rich's team on the CEAP Watershed Synthesis study including lessons learned on monitoring and more discussion on that or try to pull in some of these folks by phone on the 27th. My feeling is that the agenda will be full with all the many CEAP Cropland related items and what is likely to be intense thinking about that. I wonder if we are better off addressing this opportunity in a separate meeting also for a few hours to learn more about and discuss this topic?

* Set up opportunities to hear more about lessons on monitoring design and station siting from CEAP watershed studies; work

to translate into continued expansion of the Chesapeake Bay watershed monitoring network.

Please let me know what you are thinking.

We should include the item on the model cutput comparison in well characterized watersheds with ARS as part of the agenda on the 27th. I sent a separate email about that.

Thanks, Lisa

----Original Message----

From: Carpenter, Glenn - Beltsville, MD Sent: Thursday, July 01, 2010 12:54 PM

To: Duriancik, Lisa - Beltsville, MD; Lund, Daryl - Beltsville, MD;

Norfleet, Lee; McAfee, Robert - Annapolis, MD Cc: Kelly Shenk; Batiuk.Richard@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: FW: Modelers meeting

Lisa, Daryl, and Lee: I got this message from Rich Batiuk a couple weeks ago and have not had a chance to act on it. We need to start looking at an agenda for the meeting on July 27. Looks to me from the attached list that possible central topics revolve around CEAP results, integrating those results into CBP, SWAT/AGNPS/CBP5.3 watershed model comparison, and getting CBP data needs requests integrated into NASS Farmer Survey.

What do you all think?

Glenn

----Original Message----

From: Batiuk.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Batiuk.Richard@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 1:57 PM

To: Carpenter, Glenn - Beltsville, MD Cc: Parry.Roberta@epamail.epa.gov; Shenk.Kelly@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Re: Modelers meeting

Thanks for the email message. I am in the process of polling my Chesapeake Bay Program Office colleagues for their availability the week of July 26th.

In preparation for the meeting, I would like to see us collectively make some significant headway on the action items I put forth coming out of April 9th meeting (see attached file), particularly in terms of sharing more information on the CEAP findings in advance to make for a more productive meeting. Next week I would like us to start following through with the appropriate folks on these action items and any that you and your NRCS colleagues may have come up with.

Thanks, Rich

(See attached file: Opportunities for Collaboration NRCS-CBP Models 4 9 2010.doc)

Richard Batiuk

Associate Director for Science U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Chesapeake Bay Program Office 410 Severn Avenue, Suite 109 Annapolis, Maryland 21403 410-267-5731 1-800-968-7229 Ext 731 443-223-7823 Cell Phone 410-267-5777 FAX batiuk.richard@epa.gov www.chesapeakebay.net www.epa.gov/chesapeake

> "Carpenter, Glenn -Beltsville, MD" <Glenn.Carpenter @wdc.usda.gov>

> 06/09/2010 02:16 PM

"Norfleet, Lee" <lnorfleet@brc.tamus.edu>, "Duriancik, Lisa - Beltsville, <Lisa.Duriancik@wdc.usda.gov>, "Lund, Daryl - Beltsville, MD" <Daryl.Lund@wdc.usda.gov>, Roberta Parry/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Batiuk/CBP/USEPA/US@EPA "Carpenter, Glenn - Beltsville, $\mathsf{MD}^{\mathfrak{W}}$ <Glenn.Carpenter@wdc.usda.gov>,

To

"Swenson, Richard - Beltsville, MD" <Richard.Swenson@wdc.usda.gov> Subject Modelers meeting

All. Lets go ahead and get this meeting scheduled. I assume the last week of July is still OK with folks. I assume this will be an almost all-day meeting so we only need to accept dates. I assume Rich Batiuk will schedule his folks.

Here goes:

17-26 | 17-27 | 17-28 | 17-29 17-30

Glenn	TOK	lok	OK	OK	lok
Carpenter	ē.	t	I	1	1
		a of the same and the same time the same and the		-	and the same of the same over over over over over over over
Lee	1	1	ſ		ţ
Norfleet	1	1	1	1	
	er ofer sea-asia and and and are the test took for the	ar alfo and the side and any part and the side and and	The rate that the me are the training of the training of	The same state while their same time time time deals did	The real real real real real real real rea
Lisa	1	1	1	1	1
Duriancik	1	1	1	1	
THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY ADDRESS OF THE PARTY ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY ADDR		me order cases, and twee women come client total total cases chain claim date.	and the same were processors that them with the color of the state	e per mano, como mora serviza destre basin 1868-1850 1950 1950	The same was the same was not take the same way to the same the same take take the same take take the same take take take take take take take tak
Daryl Lund	1	1	1		,
THE REST THE THE SET OF SET OF SET OF SET OF	are with these latter some some work towards the court of the tenter of	and right - many reason and reason	THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AND T	The same read was now asset that was said one and said	4
Roberta		1			1
Parry				1	1
	1	mer også sinne sener mere mere dette själle mille som venn verd dele	a distribution come come come come come come come come	The same and the same same same same same same same sam	3
[Rich Batiu]	K	1		,	
NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF THE WAY AND DESCRIPTION					,

Go ahead and select dates and reply to everyone so we all know the acceptable dates.

Hopefully this will go relatively smoothly.

Glenn

Glenn H. Carpenter, Ph.D. National Leader, Animal Husbandry

Ph. 301.504.2208

email: glenn.carpenter@wdc.usda.gov